

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/256,265	KAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	José R Díaz	2815	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) José R Díaz. (3)_____.

(2) Dah-Bin Kao. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Eitan et al. (4,998,220).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 6/4/03
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner agreed that the region (107a) is not a channel region but merely a channel stop region, as stated in col. 8, lines 16-19 of Eitan et al. Furthermore, Applicant agreed to delete the term "whereby" and to insert the term "wherein" in claim 1, line 22; claim 8, line 16; and claim 16, line 23. In addition, the reference sign 58 in Figure 3d and page 8, line 5 (after drain regions) of the specification should be changed to 59. The examiner pointed out that by doing such corrections the application will be allowed.